



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/622,322 07/17/2003		Jill Kirsten MacAlpine	273012011202	4330		
25225	7590 04/07/2004	04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			HABTE, KAHSAY			
3811 VALLE SUITE 500	Y CENTRE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	CA 92130-2332		1624			

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/622,3	22	MACALPINE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Kahsay I	Habte, Ph. D.	1624				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period for								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (a) period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no examunication. (30) days, a reply within the state of t	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is i	non-final.		•			
, —		,—		osecution as to the	e merits is			
<u>ا</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		,						
-	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 6-20 is/are allowed.							
, —	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers				^			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
,—	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
_								
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. f., faraian mriarity un	ndor 25 U.S.C. & 110/a	(d) or (f)				
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority di	idel 33 0.3.C. § 119(a	ij-(u) or (i).				
a,	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
		•		ion No				
					l Stage			
	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat				· Otago			
*	See the attached detailed Office act			ed				
Attachme								
,	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(DTO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	,				
3) 🔀 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date 12/4/2003.			Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,322

Art Unit: 1624

ž

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,929. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in the instant application the process of modifying a polypyrrolic macrocycle that comprises the reaction of said macrocycle with carbonyl ylide capable of forming a cyano-containing compound and further reduction of the cyano group to an amine (see column 17, lines 9-15) or hydrolysis of the cyano group to an acid or carboxylate moiety or derivatization of acids or carboxylate to an ester, amide or thioamide (see column 17, lines 26-34) overlaps with the process of '929. The U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,322

Art Unit: 1624

Patent No. 6,620,929 is broader since the claim permits any other steps. Thus, the US Pat '929 embraces other reactions on the cyano groups.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.

Examiner Art Unit 1624 Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH

April 1, 2004